2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

76-691

ordinance no. 3026

AN ORDINANCE relating to County environmental policy; implementing the State Environmental Policy Act and SEPA Guidelines in King County through adoption of a County environmental policy and through provision of procedures for determining and considering the environmental impact of actions taken by the County; repealing Ordinance 1700, and Ordinance 1841, and Ordinance 2285, and Ordinance 2533, Section 1, and Ordinance 2674, and KCC 20.44.010 through KCC 20.44.200.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. Ordinance 1700, Section 1 through 17, and Ordinance 1841, Section 1 through 3, and Ordinance 2285, Sections 1 through 3, and Ordinance 2533, Section 1, and Ordinance 2674, Sections 1 through 6, and KCC 20.44.010 through KCC 20.44.200, are each repealed, and the following substituted.

SECTION 2. Policies and Authority.

- (a) King County hereby adopts by reference the policies of the State Environmental Policy Act as expressed in RCW 43.-21C.010 and RCW 43.21C.020.
- (b) The County and its departments may exercise where appropriate the authority to approve, deny or condition all public and private proposals, subject to the limitations of this Section, so as to mitigate or prevent identified significant adverse environmental impacts insofar as practical, in accordance with RCW 43.21C.020(2). A proposal which is a major action may be denied only if: (1) the proposal would result in significant and unavoidable adverse environmental impacts identified in a final impact statement prepared pursuant to this Ordinance; and (2) approval of such a proposal would be arbitrary and capricious and clearly erroneous in view of such impacts; and (3) a feasible alternative exists with a reasonable level of environmental impact. A proposal which is a major action may be conditioned: (1) so as to mitigate or prevent any significant adverse environmental impacts identified in an environmental document prepared pursuant to this Ordinance; (2) where the conditions can reasonably be fulfilled;

and (3) based on the economic impact of the conditions on the applicant.

- (c) Any decision of denial, or approval with conditions, made pursuant to this Section shall be set forth by the responsible official in a written order containing findings of fact and conclusions explicitly based on the proposal's impacts as identified in the final EIS or other environmental document, giving appropriate consideration to economic values provided, that at the same time such written order is made, a proposed ordinance shall be prepared which amends the King County Code with general provisions consistent with the decision, and which shall be proposed by the Executive to the Council.
- (d) Any decision made pursuant to this section may be appealed by an aggrieved person through the zoning and subdivision examiner to the Council in a manner consistent with the procedures in KCC 20.24. Any such appeal shall be filed in writing with the examiner within ten days of the date of the written decision, and shall state in full the facts of the matter and the reasons for appeal. In the event of an appeal of this decision to the Council, the Council as a part of the appeal shall act on the proposed ordinance as provided in subsection (c).
- (e) Compliance with this Ordinance shall constitute complete compliance with SEPA (RCW 43.21C) and the SEPA Guidelines (WAC 197-10). This Ordinance also insures that economic values will be given appropriate consideration in the County's rulemaking process along with environmental, social, health, and safety consideration, in accordance with Chapter 117, Laws of 1976, 2nd Ex. Sess.

SECTION 3. Definitions.

- (a) King County hereby adopts by reference the definitions contained in WAC 197-10-040, as now or hereafter amended.
- (b), "Aggrieved person" for purposes of this chapter shall mean the project sponsor for project denials and affirmative threshold determinations, and otherwise any person directly affected by a proposal.
 - (c) "County Departments" means any administrative office or

· 16

executive department of King County.

- (d) "County Council" means the County Council described in Article 2 of the Home Rule Charter for King County, or its duly authorized designee.
- (e) "County Executive" means the King County Executive described in Article 3 of the Home Rule Charter for King County, or his duly authorized designee.
- (f) "SEPA Guidelines" means Chapter 197-10 WAC adopted by the Council on Environmental Policy, as now or hereafter amended.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 4. Scope of Proposal. Categorical Exemptions.

- (a) King County hereby adopts by reference WAC 197-10-060, and 197-10-160 through -180, as now or hereafter amended. The scope of proposed actions to be reviewed pursuant to this chapter, and the extent and use of exemptions of categories of actions from review pursuant to this chapter, shall be governed by the SEPA Guidelines cited herein.
- (b) Use of exemptions: (1) The applicability of the exemptions set forth in WAC 197-10-170 shall be determined by each department within the County which receives an application for a license, or in the case of County proposals, by that department initiating the proposal.
- (2) If a proposal includes a series of actions, physically or functionally related to each other, some of which are exempt and some of which are not, the proposal is not exempted.
- (3) If the proposal includes a series of exempt actions which are physically or functionally related to each other, and which together may have a significant environmental impact, the proposal is not exempted.
- (4) If it is determined that a proposal is exempt, no further procedural requirements of this chapter apply to the proposal. No environmental checklist shall be required for an exempt proposal.

- 1 2

- (c) The following modifications of categorical exemptions set forth in the SEPA Guidelines shall apply in King County, subject to state approval as required by WAC 197-10-150:
- (1) WAC 197-10-170-(18) (b); substitute the following:
 "All storm water, water and sewer facilities, lines equipment, hookups or
 appurtenances, PROVIDED that new lines and processing and pumping facilities
 to serve an undeveloped area of King County where there has not been a
 previous committment to urbanization by the County at a planned density in
 excess of 2 dwelling units per gross acre, are not exempt, PROVIDED FURTHER,
 that all utility hookups, including but not limited to natural gas and
 electricity, to individual developments already authorized by valid building
 permits or other county actions shall always be exempt, regardless of
 their location.
- (2) WAC 197-10-170(18)(g); substitute: "All grants of franchises to utilities, PROVIDED, that sewer and water utilities within King County must have a comprehensive plan approved by the County since June 26, 1973, before this exemption applies."

NEW SECTION. SECTION 5. Sensitive Areas.

- (a) The categorical exemptions set forth in WAC 197-10-170(1)(a) through (c) and (f), (i) and (j), (9)(a) through (c), (10)(a), (18)(b) and (i), and (19)(h) shall not apply when the project activity is located in a sensitive area defined as follows:
- (1) Areas "subject to flooding" such as in the Sammamish River Valley and in the lower valley of the Green River where levees provide a high degree of flood protection but also prevent precipitation in the immediate watershed from draining into the river as identified in reference iii below.
- (2) Areas of "wetlands" or "poorly drained soils" in flat terraces adjacent to small streams and/or poorly drained depressional soils as identified under "drainage" in Table 3 of reference i below as subject to "Flood Hazard" and the Buckley

soils characterizing the Enumclaw Plateau between the Green and White Rivers, as identified in reference i below;

- (3) All lands having over twenty-five percent slope as identified in references i and ii below, and those lands having under twenty-five percent slope which contain a potential hazard by reasons of soil erosion or landslide, and associated sedimentation, together with related ravines and narrow beaches located within or immediately adjacent to those slope areas;
- (4) Areas having soil subject to severe or very severe erosion hazard as described in reference i below;
- (5) Areas having soils subject to severe or very severe slippage (landslide) hazard as described in reference i below, and/or soils mapped as potential landslide hazard areas as described in reference iv below, and in areas with known occurrences of slides as described in reference v below;
- (6) Areas having soils with high shrink-swell potential as identified in Table 2 of reference i below;
- (7) Any other area hereafter designated by the County Council as sensitive or unique and mapped for the purposes of this Section.
- (b) The sensitive areas defined in subsection (a) of this Section are mapped on the following references, which in accordance with WAC 197-10-177(1), are hereby adopted as part of this Ordinance:
- (i) "Soil Survey, King County Area, Washington," USDA,Soil Conservation Service, 1971 (maps and associated technical report);
- (ii) U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 7-1/2 minute series quadrangles and other topographic maps having comparable or better accuracy;
- (iii) Flood Plain Profiles available in the hydraulics division, King County Department of Public Works;
 - (iv) "Flood Hazard Maps" adopted by the County Council

pursuant to Chapter 21.54 of the Zoning Code, on file in the Building and Land Development Division.

- (v) "Land Use Sheets" maintained in the permanent working files of the Building and Land Development Division.
- (c) In case of a conflict between the definitions of subsection

 (a) of this Section and the maps referenced in subsection (b) of this

 Section, the actual presence or absence of the characteristics of such

 sensitive areas on the site shall govern. In making its determination

 that a proposal is, or is not, located in a sensitive area, the County

 may use detailed project site surveys, soil reports and other data which it

 may require an applicant to furnish, in addition to the maps referenced in

 subsection (b) of this Section.
- (d) This section shall become effective only upon adoption by ordinance by the Council of a single map designating all sensitive areas specified in subsection (a).

NEW SECTION. SECTION 6. Lead Agency, Responsibilities and Designation.

- (a) King County hereby adopts by reference WAC 197-10-200 through -270, and 197-10-345, as now or hereafter amended. The responsibilities and designation of responsible officials within the County shall be governed by the SEPA Guidelines cited herein.
- (b) In case of uncertainty or disagreement as to which County department, if any, should carry out the County's lead agency responsibilities, the County executive shall designate the responsible official.
- (c) The director of the County department exercising initial jurisdiction over private proposals, or sponsoring a County proposal, shall be the responsible official as defined in WAC 197-10-040(30) and required by WAC 197-10-820. The directors of County departments may delegate their lead agency responsibilities to divisions within their departments on an action category basis, and in accordance with their written departmental procedures

prepared pursuant to Section 15 of this ordinance.

- (d) The responsible official shall make the threshold determination, supervise preparation of any required EIS, and perform any other functions assigned to the lead agency or responsible official by those sections of the SEPA Guidelines adopted by reference in this chapter for all proposals for which the County is the lead agency.
- (e) The lead agency responsibilities of the County Council shall be carried out as follows:
- (1) With respect to Council action on applications for zone reclassification, unclassified use permits, planned unit developments, preliminary plat approvals, changes to shoreline management environment designations, or any other non-exempt proposal reviewed by the zoning and subdivision examiner pursuant to KCC 20.24.070, the director, Department of Planning and Community Development, shall be the responsible officials making threshold determinations. The examiner's recommendations on such applications shall include findings and conclusions on the environmental significance of the proposed actions. The Council, when acting on such recommendations, shall expressly concur with and adopt, or expressly modify or reverse, the examiner's findings and conclusions on the environmental significance of such proposed actions. In so doing, the Council shall accord substantial weight to the threshold determination made by the Department of Planning and Community Development.
- (2) With respect to Council initiated actions, the Council shall refer such proposals to the County Executive for threshold determination and any other procedural steps necessary for compliance with SEPA.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 7. Threshold Determination and Criteria

Procedures; Environmental Checklist; Information Required from Applicant.

(a) King County hereby adopts by reference WAC 197-10-050,

or at: cha gov WAA for of

18 19 20

14

15

16

17

222324

21

26 27

28

25

29 30

31 32

33

and WAC 197-10-300 through -340, and WAC 197-10-350 through -390 as now or hereafter amended. Procedures and criteria for all threshold determinations made pursuant to this Ordinance, including use of environmental checklist and requiring additional information from applicants, shall be governed by the SEPA Guidelines cited herein, PROVIDED, that nothing in WAC 197-10-350 or -390 shall preclude the County from denying an application for a private or non-county proposal which is determined to be significant, based on grounds which are ascertainable without preparation of an EIS, as provided for in subsection 11(b) of this Ordinance.

- (b) Additional information not required by other state law or County ordinance for an application may be required of an applicant by a responsible official of the County for use in threshold determination, pursuant to WAC 197-10-330(1)(a). The responsible official may refuse to process and consider the application further if the applicant refuses or fails to provide specifically required information within six months of written notification of such requirement. Written notice specifying all additional information so required must be provided within fifteen days of the proper filing of the application, except in the case of proposals being heard by the zoning and subdivision examiner. Such a refusal by a responsible official shall be final.
- (c) In making threshold determinations, the responsible official shall measure the environmental significance of a proposal recognizing that all applicable mandatory standards and mitigating conditions would be imposed as part of any approval of a proposed action.
- (d) The environmental checklist required by WAC 197-10-365 shall be used for both county and non-county major actions. County departments are hereby authorized to combine the checklist and/or information thereon, with departmental reports and application forms, provided that the checklist questions are unaltered, as required by WAC 197-10-365.

(D)22(-17

(e) For proposals being heard by the zoning and subdivision examiner and submitted to the county council for final action pursuant to KCC 20.24.070, initial threshold determinations shall be completed prior to commencement of public hearings before the examiner, except that in the case of applications by private persons and non-county agencies where the applicant requests in writing that an environmental impact statement be prepared because of the significant impact asserted and stated by the applicant, the responsible official shall make a determination of significance within fifteen (15) days of such request. The Examiner may require additional information prior to making his threshold determination, pursuant to WAC 197-10-330; such requirement shall be provided orally or in writing prior to closing the public hearing. For all other non-county proposals requiring county licenses, initial threshold determination shall be made within fifteen calendar days of submittal of a complete application, except when additional information is required pursuant to subsection (b) of this Section.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 8. Draft Environmental Impact Statements; Timing and Purpose, Content and Procedures.

- (a) King County hereby adopts by reference WAC 197-10-055, and WAC 197-10-400 through -465, and WAC 197-10-495, as now or hereafter amended. The contents and preparation procedures for draft environmental impact statements shall be governed by the SEPA Guidelines cited herein.
- (b) The following additional elements may be part of the environment for the purposes of environmental impact statement content and final decisions on proposed actions but do not add to the criteria for threshold determinations:
 - (1) The economy, including both public and private sectors;
- (2) Applicable local and regional goals, policies, plans, laws and regulations.

- (c) Pursuant to WAC 197-10-444(1), the county shall focus the content of the draft EIS on the issues which caused the affirmative threshold determination, whether the proposal is publicly or privately sponsored. The purpose of this Subsection is to insure compliance with SEPA in the most efficient manner consistent with production of an adequate EIS and provision of all relevant information to county responsible officials.
- (d) The responsible official may refuse to process and consider an application further if the applicant refuses or fails to provide information specifically required for preparation of an adequate draft EIS, within six months of written notification of such requirements. Written notice specifying all additional information so required must be provided within thirty (30) days of the initial threshold determination. Such a refusal by responsible official shall be final.
- (e) The departmental procedures required by Section 15 of this Ordinance shall specify each county department's procedures for requiring additional information and/or participation in draft EIS preparation, in accordance with WAC 197-10-420. County departments are hereby authorized to require or to allow complete preparation and printing of a draft EIS by a private applicant or his agent, subject to the provisions of WAC 197-10-420.
- (f) For any action, except an approval of a county-sponsored proposal which is determined to be significant, the draft environmental impact statement shall be prepared and listed in the "EIS available register" no later than forty-five (45) days after final determination of significance. The purpose of this subsection, and of all other time limits contained in this Ordinance, is to insure timely processing of all non-county proposals subject to the requirements of SEPA.

(g) For proposed actions involving applications by private persons and non-county agencies that are unusually large, complex or significant, the county executive or his designee may extend any of the time limits of this Section in thirty-day increments. In so doing, the county executive or his designee shall notify the applicant in writing, specifying the good cause for such extension.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 9. Public Hearings on Proposed Actions.

(a) King County hereby adopts by reference WAC 197-10-480 through -490 as now or hereafter amended. Public hearings on the environmental impacts of proposed actions shall be governed by the SEPA Guidelines cited herein. Public hearings on proposed major actions held by the zoning and subdivision examiner, zoning adjustor, or any other official or branch of the county, pursuant to other requirements of the King County Code, shall be open to consideration of the proposals' environmental impacts. A hearing on such a proposed action determined to require an EIS may not be closed until the final EIS is available to the county decision-maker.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 10. Responsibilities of Consulted Agencies.

- (a) King County hereby adopts by reference WAC 197-10-500 through -545, as now or hereafter amended. The responsibilities of the county, including all its branches and departments, as a consulted agency shall be governed by the SEPA Guidelines cited herein.
- (b) The county's responsibilities as a consulted agency, and as a reviewer of another agency's EIS, shall be carried out by the branch or department having jurisdiction or technical expertise, except that the county executive may assume, divide, or reassign this responsibility for a specific proposed action when it originally falls within the jurisdiction or technical expertise of an executive department, provided that the executive-designated responsible department shall always have technical expertise in such a proposed action.
- (c) Within ninety days of the effective date of this section the county executive shall prepare a list specifying the consulting agency responsibilities of all executive departments, according to the action category jurisdiction or technical expertise of each department. This list shall be made available to all interested non-county agencies and to the general

public.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 11. Final Environmental Impact Statement; Content, Procedures and Use for Official Actions.

- (a) King County hereby adopts by reference WAC 197-10-550 through -710, as now and hereafter amended. The contents, preparation procedures and use of final environmental impact statements in official actions of the county shall be governed by the SEPA Guidelines cited herein.
- (b) Nothing in WAC 197-10-650 through -695 shall prevent the county from obtaining new environmental information and studies and making same available to the appropriate decision-maker to be included in the planning, review and decision processes without the necessity of a draft and final supplemental EIS.
- (c) The responsible official may refuse to process and consider further an application if the applicant refuses or fails to provide new information which the responsible official requires in order to respond adequately to critical comments received on the draft EIS, within six months of written notification of such requirements. Written notification specifying all additional information so required must be provided within seven days of the expiration of the draft EIS circulation period set forth in WAC 197-10-455. Such a refusal shall be final.
- (d) Where approval is given to a proposal which required an EIS, the county's responsible official may impose those reasonable conditions necessary to mitigate or avoid the identified bignificant adverse impacts of the proposal.
- (e) When denial of a private proposal, which is determined to be significant, can be based on existing county ordinances, the responsible official may deny the request without preparing an EIS in order to save the applicant and the county from incurring needless expense, subject to the following provisions:
- (1) With respect to any application reviewed by the zoning and subdivision examiner pursuant to KCC 20.24.070 which is not categorically exempt, an affirmative threshold determination shall not preclude the department of planning and community development or the zoning and subdivision examiner from recommending denial of an application based on existing county ordinances.

Such a recommendation for denial shall be based on express written findings and conclusions of:

- (i) clear and irreconcilable conflict with the policies and standards of the county's comprehensive plan, or;
 - (ii) irreconcilable conflict with adopted county ordinance, or;
- (iii) irreconcilable conflict with duly adopted rules and regulations. Provided, that the examiner may find that there is reasonable doubt that grounds for denial are sufficient, and therefore remand the application for reconsideration following preparation of an EIS:
- (2) When the county council considers a recommendation for denial by the zoning and subdivision examiner made pursuant to this subsection, it may take one of the following actions:
 - (i) deny the application;

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

- (ii) find that there is reasonable doubt that grounds for denial are sufficient, and therefore remand the application for reconsideration following preparation of an EIS:
- (iii) expressly reverse the examiner's conclusions and determination of environmental significance, as provided in Section 6 (e) of this ordinance;
- (3) With respect to any other application reviewed by any responsible official within the county for a project which is not categorically exempt, an affirmative threshold determination shall not preclude the responsible official from denying an application based on existing county ordinances.

 Such a denial shall be based on express written findings and conclusions of:
- (i) clear and irreconcilable conflict with the policies and standards of the county's comprehensive plan, or,
 - (ii) irreconcilable conflict with adopted county ordinance, or;
- (iii) irreconcilable conflict with duly adopted rules and regulations.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 12. SEPA Public Information Center.

(a) King County hereby adopts by reference the applicable provisions of WAC 197-10-830 and -835, as now and hereafter amended. The establishment and maintenance of the County's SEPA public information center

ì

shall be governed by the SEPA Guidelines cited herein.

- (b) The county executive is hereby directed to designate the King County SEPA Public Information Center. The center will maintain all registers and other files required by WAC 197-10-830 until a regional center is established pursuant to WAC 197-10-835.
- (c) The SEPA information center established by the county executive may be used jointly by other jurisdictions by mutual agreement with the county.
- (d) The responsible official, as opposed to the SEPA Public Information Center, shall be responsible for ensuring that all environmental documents are transmitted to the Public Information Center in proper order and within the time limits established by this Ordinance.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 13. Application of SEPA Requirements to On-Going Actions.

(a) King County hereby adopts by reference WAC 197-10-840, as now or hereafter amended, pursuant to which the requirements of this Ordinance shall be applied to any proposed action, or element thereof, initiated subsequent to the effective date of this Ordinance.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 14. Public Notice and Statute of Limitations.

- (a) Notice of any final decision made by the county in respect to the actions defined in Section 3 of this Ordinance, including actions qualified for categorical exemption, may be publicized at the private or non-county agency applicant's expense, or in the case of county sponsored projects and activities, by the county. In no event shall the county be responsible for publicizing notice for decisions made by the county in respect to private or non-county agency projects and activities. Such notice, whenever given, shall be in substantially the form set forth in subsection (b) of this Section and shall be made in the following manner:
- (1) By publishing the notice on the same day of each week for two consecutive weeks in a newspaper of general circulation in the area where the property which is the subject of the action is located; in the case of actions which are not related to a particular identifiable location, the notice shall

i

be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the county; and

- (2) By filing notice of such action with the Department of Ecology at its main office in Olympia; and
- (3) Where no EIS is filed and where the property which is the subject matter of the action is under ten acres, such action shall also be publicized by sending a notice of such action through the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, to all owners of property abutting the property which is the subject matter of such action, as such property owners appear on the property tax rolls of the county assessor.
- (b) The forms for such notices as may be given pursuant to this section shall be made available to private and non-county agency applicants at the offices of the clerk of the County Council or the applicable county department and such notices shall read substantially as follows (subject to whatever further changes are required by amendment of applicable state law or state regulation):

"NOTICE OF ACTION BY KING COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF _____ (the responsible department or King County Council if applicable)

Pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 43.21.C RCW, and the King County Ordinances or rules implementing Chapter 43.21.C RCW, notice is hereby given that:

King County Department of ________(or King

County Council) did on _______(date) take an action which was

(or, was not) determined to be a major action significantly affecting the quality of the environment.

Any action to set aside, enjoin, review, or otherwise challenge such action on the grounds of noncompliance with the provisions of chapter 43.21C RCW (State Environmental Policy Act) or any King County ordinance or rules implementing chapter 43.21C shall be commenced within in Superior Court (60 days for private projects; 90 days for public agency projects or activities) or be barred.

The action taken by King County, notice of which is hereby given, was as follows:

- (1)(here insert description by ordinance, motion or file number of action taken such as: adoption of ordinance; issuance of building permit; approval of preliminary or final plat, etc);
 - (2)(here insert description of the project or activity);

- (d) Any action to set aside, enjoin, review or otherwise challenge any action by the County, for which notice is given as provided in this Section on grounds of noncompliance with the State Environmental Policy Act or any county ordinance or rules implementing said Act shall be commenced within sixty days from the giving of notice in the case of privately sponsored projects within ninety days from the giving notice for projects or activities sponsored by a government agency, or be barred. Such action shall be taken in superior court. The time of giving of notice as provided in this Section shall be considered the date of filing notice with the Department of Ecology, the date of final newspaper publication, or, when applicable, the date of mailing notices to abutting property owners, whichever occurs later.
- (e) Any action to set aside, enjoin, review or otherwise challenge any action by the county on grounds of noncompliance with SEPA or this Ordinance for which a shorter time period than sixty or ninety days for challenge is provided by state law or county ordinance on the grounds of noncompliance with another federal or state law or county ordinance or rules implementing said law or ordinance, shall be commenced within the applicable

time limits and avenues provided by such state law or county ordinance.

- (f) In the case of any subsequent actions by the county on a project or activity for which notice was properly given and for which an environmental impact statement was previously prepared, the county may utilize the same environmental impact statement for the subsequent action as long as there has been no substantial change in the project or activity between the time of the original action and any subsequent actions. In such a case, the subsequent action or actions shall not be set aside, enjoined, reviewed or thereafter challenged on grounds of noncompliance with RCW 43.21.C030(2)(c) or any county ordinance or rules implementing said Act.
- (g) In any action involving an attack on a determination made by the County or a responsible official of the county relating to the environmental significance of an action or category of actions or relating to the adequacy of an environmental impact statement, the determination by the county or a responsible official of the county shall be accorded substantial weight.

NEW SECTION. SECTION 15. Departmental Procedures - Regulations by County Executive. Appeals.

- (a) Each county department shall develop and present to the county executive for approval, within ninety days of the effective date of this section, or within ninety days of the effective date of any subsequent amendment of this Ordinance, its own formal procedures to implement this Ordinance. After approval the procedures shall be filed with the clerk of the county council. The procedures shall accomplish the following:
- (1) Identify the specific categories of departmental actions which may potentially have a significant effect on the environment so as to require an action by action determination of environmental significance and possibly the preparation of an environmental impact statement;
- (2) Identify the responsible official or officials within the department for purposes of compliance with the SEPA Guidelines and this ordinance;
- (3) For non-county projects, specify the circumstances under which additional information may be required from private applicants for the purposes

 of threshold determination and preparation of draft and final impact statements

- (4) Identify the specific comprehensive plan policies and standards, and portions of the county code, which can be cited as grounds for denial of private or non-county applications to the department for significant proposals without preparation of an EIS, as provided for in Section 11 (e) of this Ordinance.
- (b) Any responsible official within King County proposing to assume the lead agency responsibilities of a non-county agency pursuant to WAC 197-10-260 or -345 shall submit said proposal to the County Executive for approval before its submittal to CEP and/or the non-county agency in question.
- (c) The county executive shall have authority to approve, disapprove or modify the procedures of county departments developed pursuant to this section to insure their consistency with this Ordinance and to make orders and regulations relating to the implementation by county departments of the State Environmental Policy Act and this Ordinance.
 - (d) Appeals.
- (1) The zoning and subdivision examiner shall hear appeals by aggreived persons of threshold determinations made by responsible officials within the executive branch. Any such appeal shall be filed in writing with the examiner within ten days of the threshold determination being listed with the SEPA public information center, and shall state in full the reasons for the appeal. The ruling of the examiner on any appeal of a threshold determination filed pursuant to this section shall be final; provided, that for land use proposals normally heard by the examiner for the council pursuant to K.C.C. 20.24, the examiner and the council shall rule on the question as set forth in section 6(e) of this Ordinance; in such cases the applicant shall appeal an affirmative threshold determination within ten days by requesting in writing that the examiner's public hearing on the matter commence without preparation of a draft EIS.
- (2) Any appeal of a county action which is based on the adequacy of an EIS shall be filed in superior court.

7

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

33

NEW SECTION. SECTION 16. Fees.

- (a) The following fees shall be required for applications for county licenses and actions filed by a person or noncounty agency in addition to the regular application and inspection fees:
- (1) Actions in categories without environmental significance: no fee required;
- (2) Actions requiring an individual determination of environmental significance and resulting in declaration of no significant impact: a minimum of fifty dollars, plus additional actual cost to the county; provided, that such fee shall not be required for applications made to the county where this fee has been incorporated into a regular application fee.
- (3) Actions requiring preparation by the county of an environmental impact statement:
- (i) Five hundred dollars to be deposited with the responsible official prior to commencement of preparation of the impact statement by the county, plus;
- (ii) Actual cost of additional time spent by regular county professional, technical and clerical employees required for the preparation and distribution of the applicant's impact statement; provided that such costs shall be accounted for properly; provided further, that no costs shall be charged for processing of the application which take place with or without the requirement for an impact statement and which are covered by the regular application fee, and no costs shall be charged for review of completed impact statements by county officials;
- (iii) Additional costs, if any, for experts not employed by the county, texts, printing and for any other actual costs required for the preparation and distribution of the impact statement; provided that no additional costs can be charged for existing studies or general information already in the possession of the county;
- (iv) Additional costs as described in Subsections 3(ii) and (iii) above shall not exceed two thousand dollars, and shall be billed to the applicant in five hundred dollar increments as they are incurred;

- (v) Any unexpended balance from deposits made by the applicant shall be returned upon completion of the final EIS:
- (4) Actions requiring an environmental impact statement which is prepared by a private applicant:
- (i) Two hundred and fifty dollars to be deposited with the responsible official prior to submission of the applicant's proposed draft EIS, plus additional costs as set forth in Subsections 3(ii) through (iv) above;
- (ii) Any unexpended balance from deposits made by the applicant shall be returned upon completion of the final EIS:
- (b) In the case of those actions requiring environmental impact statements, the responsible official shall, to the maximum extent practicable consistent with the requirements of producing an adequate environmental impact statement, utilize information, studies and tests and assistance, any proposed draft EIS, provided by the applicant in order to minimize additional costs for the preparation of the impact statement;
- (c) In the case of those non-county actions requiring environmental impact statements, the responsible official shall inform the applicant in writing of the projected scope, cost and timetable of the EIS prior to accepting the deposit required in Subsection (a)(3)(i) of this section.
- (d) The county hereby adopts by reference WAC 197-10-470, as now or hereafter amended, governing charges to the public for costs of reproduction of environmental documents. Within thirty days of the effective date of this Ordinance the environmental impact committee shall adopt a uniform schedule of fees to the public for environmental documents from all county departments.

2	Should any section, subsection, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase
3	of this ordinance be held unconstitutional or invalid for any reason, such
4	decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this
5	Ordinance.
6	INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this 9π
7	day of August, 1976.
8	PASSED this 27th day of Sucember, 1976
9	KING COUNTY COUNCIL
10	KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON
11	
12	Chairman Chairman
13	ATTEST:
14	
15	Devely & Owns
16	APPROVED this 4th day of January , 1977
17	APPROVED UITS 716 day of 716
18	Jallen -
19	King County Executive
20	
21	
22	
23 .	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	-21-

NEW SECTION. SECTION 17. Severability.